

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 33, 191–199 (1975)

## Schur Indices in Finite Groups\*

D. M. GOLDSCHMIDT

*Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720*

AND

I. M. ISAACS

*Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706**Communicated by Walter Feit*

Received November 21, 1973

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose  $G$  is a finite group with exponent  $n$  and  $F$  is a field of characteristic zero. We write  $F[\sqrt[n]{1}]$  to denote the splitting field of  $X^n - 1$  over  $F$ . A well-known theorem of R. Brauer (16.3 of [6]) asserts that  $F[\sqrt[n]{1}]$  is a splitting field for  $G$ . Consequently, if one is interested in the irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ , it is natural to study the field extension,  $F[\sqrt[n]{1}]/F$ . We prove the following result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with exponent  $n$  and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Suppose for some prime  $p$ , that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(F[\sqrt[n]{1}]/F)$  is cyclic. If  $2 \nmid |P|$ , assume also that  $\sqrt{-1} \in F$ . Then the Schur index over  $F$  of every irreducible character of  $G$  is relatively prime to  $p$ .*

This theorem includes results of L. Solomon [9] and P. Fong [7] as special cases. (See 16.4 and 16.5 of [6].) The generalization of Fong's result to the exponent rather than the order of  $G$  was also obtained recently by T. Yamada [10].

Theorem 1 is obtained using a reduction theorem similar in spirit to a result of Brauer [3] (See 16.1 of [6]). To state this result, we first make the following

\* The work of the first author was partially supported by NSF Grant GP 37492X and that of the second author by a Sloan Foundation research fellowship and by NSF Grant GP 42457X.

DEFINITION. Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. An  $F$ -triple is an ordered triple  $(H, X, \theta)$ , where

- (a)  $H$  is a finite group with a cyclic, normal, self-centralizing subgroup  $X$ ,
- (b)  $\theta$  is a faithful (absolutely) irreducible character of  $H$ ,
- (c)  $\theta_X$  is afforded by an irreducible  $F(\theta)$ -representation.

Following [6] we let  $m_F(\chi)$  denote the Schur index over  $F$  of the (absolutely) irreducible character  $\chi$ , and we write  $\text{Irr}(G)$  for the set of (absolutely) irreducible characters of  $G$ .

THEOREM 2. *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $F$  a field of characteristic zero. Suppose  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  and  $p^a \mid m_F(\chi)$  for some prime  $p$ . Then there exists an  $F$ -triple  $(H, X, \theta)$  such that*

- (a)  $H$  is a section of  $G$ ,
- (b)  $H/X$  is a  $p$ -group,
- (c)  $p^a \mid m_F(\theta)$ ,
- (d)  $p \nmid |F(\chi, \theta) : F(\chi)|$ .

We say that a group is *quasielementary* if it has a cyclic normal  $p$ -complement for some prime  $p$ . (These groups have also been called “hypercentral.”) The key step in the proof of Theorem 2 is to show that a minimal counterexample is quasielementary. Although this is immediate from standard theorems, we take this opportunity to present a more elementary proof. We use the following:

THEOREM 3. (Solomon). *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the set of quasielementary subgroups of the finite group  $G$ . Then there exist rational integers  $\{a_H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  such that*

$$1_G = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} a_H (1_H)^G.$$

We give a surprisingly easy proof of Solomon’s (unpublished) result. We note that Brauer’s Theorem on induced characters [4] is a reasonably direct consequence of Theorem 3, and we sketch a proof.

## 2. $F$ -TRIPLES

Let  $(H, X, \theta)$  be an  $F$ -triple with  $|X| = r$  and let  $\lambda$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\theta_X$ . Since  $\theta$  is faithful and  $X$  is cyclic, it follows that  $\lambda$  is a faithful linear character and in particular,  $F(\lambda) = F[\sqrt[r]{1}]$ . Since Schur indices of linear characters are trivial, the hypothesis that  $\theta_X$  is afforded by an

$F(\theta)$ -irreducible representation means that  $\theta_X = \sum_{\sigma} \lambda^{\sigma}$ , where the sum ranges over all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G} = \text{Gal}(F(\lambda)/F(\theta))$ . We call the extension  $F(\lambda)/F(\theta)$  the *associated field extension* of  $(H, X, \theta)$ . For  $h \in H$ , there exists a unique  $\sigma_h \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that

$$\lambda^{h^{-1}} = \lambda^{\sigma_h}.$$

It is easy to check that the map  $h \mapsto \sigma_h$  is an epimorphism from  $H$  to  $\mathfrak{G}$  whose kernel is  $I(\lambda)$ , the inertia group of  $\lambda$ . However, since  $\lambda$  is faithful, the hypothesis that  $X$  is self-centralizing implies that  $I(\lambda) = X$ . This yields  $H/X \cong \mathfrak{G}$  and also shows that  $\theta = \lambda^{\sigma}$ . We summarize these observations as follows:

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $(H, X, \theta)$  be an  $F$ -triple with  $|X| = r$  and let  $\lambda$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\theta_X$ . Then*

- (a)  $F(\lambda) = F[\sqrt[r]{1}]$ ,
- (b)  $\theta = \lambda^{\sigma}$ ,
- (c)  $H/X \cong \text{Gal}(F(\lambda)/F(\theta))$ .

We turn now to a discussion of the Schur index of  $\theta$  over  $F$  in the context of the theory of central-simple algebras. (Readers unfamiliar with this material may skip this discussion and proceed immediately to the statement of the main result of this section, Theorem 2.2.) Given the  $F$ -triple  $(H, X, \theta)$ , let  $F_1 = F(\theta)$  and let  $A$  be the ideal of  $F_1[H]$  generated by the central idempotent

$$e = [\theta(1)/|H|] \sum_{h \in H} \theta(h^{-1})h.$$

Then  $A$  is a central-simple  $F_1$ -algebra and  $m_F(\theta)$  is just the index of  $A$ . The interesting point about  $F$ -triples is that we have an explicit crossed product presentation for  $A$  (see [8], p. 108). Namely, let  $E = eF_1[X]$ , then  $E$  is a field because  $\theta_X$  is afforded by an  $F_1$ -irreducible representation. Clearly,  $E \cong F_1[\sqrt[r]{1}] \cong F(\lambda)$ . The elements  $\{eh \mid h \in H\}$  generate  $A$  (as an  $F_1$ -vector space), normalize  $E$ , and by virtue of (2.1), induce the action of  $\text{Gal}(E/F_1)$  on  $E$ . A dimension count yields:

$$\dim_{F_1}(A) = \theta(1)^2 = (\lambda^{\sigma}(1))^2 = |H/X|^2 = |E:F_1|^2.$$

Thus  $A$  is explicitly presented as a crossed product. The mapping  $H \rightarrow eH$  embeds  $X$  into the multiplicative group,  $E^*$ , of  $E$  and identifies  $H/X$  with  $\text{Gal}(E/F_1)$ . These identifications induce a map

$$\varphi: H^2(H/X, X) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Gal}(E/F_1), E^*).$$

If  $\alpha \in H^2(H/X, X)$  is the class which defined the group  $H$ , then  $\varphi(\alpha)$  is the class which defines the crossed product  $A$ .

*Remark.* It is obvious that the order of  $\varphi(\alpha)$  (in the group  $H^2(\text{Gal}(E/F_1), E^*)$ ) divides the order of  $X$ . If  $F$  is a number field, it follows from deep results on division algebras that the index of  $A$  equals the order of  $\varphi(\alpha)$  (see [1, p. 149]). In particular,  $m_F(\theta) \mid |X|$ .

We now consider the special case where  $H/X$  is cyclic. Let  $Y = \langle y \rangle$  be a cyclic supplement to  $X$  in  $H$ , let  $Y_0 = Y \cap X$ , and let  $m = |H/X|$ . Then  $(ey)^m$  is a primitive  $|Y_0|$ th root of unity in  $E$ , and the standard necessary and sufficient condition for the cyclic crossed product  $A = \langle E, ey \rangle$  to split is that  $(ey)^m$  lie in the image of the norm map  $N_{E/F_1} : E^* \rightarrow F_1^*$  (see [1, p. 75]). This proves the following.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose  $(H, X, \theta)$  is an  $F$ -triple with  $H/X$  cyclic. Let  $Y$  be a cyclic supplement to  $X$  in  $H$  and put  $n = |Y \cap X|$ . Let  $E/F_1$  be the associated field extension. Then  $m_F(\theta) = 1$  iff the image of the norm map  $N_{E/F_1} : E^* \rightarrow F_1^*$  contains a primitive  $n$ th root of unity.*

We now outline a direct proof of the sufficiency of the above-stated norm condition which is independent of the theory of algebras. The necessity can also be proved directly, but it is not required in this paper. Suppose, then, that  $\lambda$  is an irreducible constituent of  $\theta_X$ , and set  $E = F(\lambda)$  and  $F_1 = F(\theta)$ . Let  $Y = \langle y \rangle$ ,  $X = \langle x \rangle$ , and  $m = |H/X|$ . Then a presentation for  $H$  is given by

$$H = \langle x, y \mid x^r = 1, y^m = x^s, y^{-1}xy = x^t \rangle$$

where  $s$  and  $t$  are appropriate integers. In the notation of (2.2),  $y^m = x^s$  is a central element of order  $n$ . Since  $\theta$  is a faithful irreducible character,  $F_1$  contains a primitive  $n$ th root of unity,  $\epsilon$ . Without loss, we may take  $\epsilon = \lambda(x)^s$ . From (2.1) it follows that  $\text{Gal}(E/F_1)$  is generated by an element  $\sigma$  such that  $\lambda(x)^\sigma = \lambda^{y^{-1}}(x) = \lambda(x^y) = \lambda(x^t) = \lambda(x)^t$ . We are assuming that there exists  $\alpha \in E$  with  $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha^{\sigma^i} = \epsilon = \lambda(x)^s$ . Define  $F_1$ -linear transformations  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}$  on  $E$  via

$$\beta \hat{x} = \beta \lambda(x),$$

$$\beta \hat{y} = \beta^\sigma \alpha$$

for all  $\beta \in E$ . Then direct calculation yields  $\hat{x}^r = 1$ ,  $\hat{y}^m = \hat{x}^s$ ,  $\hat{y}^{-1}\hat{x}\hat{y} = \hat{x}^t$ . It follows that there exists an  $F_1$ -representation  $\mathfrak{X}$  with  $\mathfrak{X}(x) = \hat{x}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(y) = \hat{y}$ . Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the character afforded by  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $\hat{\theta}(1) = |E : F_1| = |H/X|$  and  $\lambda$  is obviously a constituent of  $\hat{\theta}_X$ . We conclude that  $\hat{\theta} = \lambda^\sigma = \theta$  is afforded by an  $F_1$ -representation.

## 3. QUASIELEMENTARY SUBGROUPS

Recall that a group is called quasialementary if it has a cyclic normal  $p$ -complement for some prime,  $p$ . Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $R$  be the set of  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear combinations of permutation characters of the form  $(1_H)^G$  where  $H \subseteq G$  is quasialementary.

LEMMA 3.1. *In the above situation,  $R$  is a ring of  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued functions (with pointwise addition and multiplication).*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $R$  is closed under addition and consists of  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued functions. Thus, it suffices to show that  $(1_H)^G (1_K)^G \in R$  for quasialementary  $H, K \subseteq G$ . Write  $\theta = (1_H)^G$ . Then  $\theta(1_K)^G = (\theta_K)^G$ . Now  $\theta_K$  is a permutation character of  $K$  and hence we may write  $\theta_K = \sum_{i=1}^s (1_{K_i})^K$ , where  $\{K_i\}$  is some family of (not necessarily distinct) subgroups of  $K$ . Thus  $(1_H)^G (1_K)^G = (\theta_K)^G = \sum_{i=1}^s (1_{K_i})^G$ . Since every subgroup of  $K$  is quasialementary, the result follows.

Theorem 3 asserts that  $1_G \in R$ . To prove this, we use the following special case of a lemma of Banaschewski [2].

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose  $R$  is a ring of  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued functions on a finite set  $\Omega$ . If  $1_\Omega \notin R$ , then there exists  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and a prime,  $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ , such that  $p \mid f(x)$  for every  $f \in R$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , let  $I_\alpha = \{f(\alpha) \mid f \in R\}$ . Then  $I_\alpha$  is a subring of  $\mathbf{Z}$ . It suffices to assume that  $I_\alpha = \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and to show that  $1_\Omega \in R$ .

Since  $1 \in I_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , we may choose  $f_\alpha \in R$  with  $f_\alpha(\alpha) = 1$ . Then  $\Pi_\alpha(1_\Omega - f_\alpha) = 0$ . Expanding this yields  $1_\Omega$  as a linear combination of products of various  $f_\alpha$ , so  $1_\Omega \in R$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.* By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, it suffices to show that given  $g \in G$  and a prime  $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ , there exists a quasialementary subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $p \nmid (1_H)^G(g)$ . Let  $C$  be the  $p$ -complement in  $\langle g \rangle$  and put  $N = \mathbf{N}_G(C)$ . Let  $H/C$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N/C$ . Then  $H$  is quasialementary.

Now  $(1_H)^G(g)$  is the number of cosets  $Hx$  in  $G$  with  $Hxg = Hx$ . However, if  $Hxg = Hx$ , then  $Cx^{-1} \subseteq \langle g \rangle^{x^{-1}} \subseteq H$ . Since  $C$  is the unique subgroup of  $H$  of its order, it follows that  $x \in \mathbf{N}(C) = N$ . Thus  $(1_H)^G(g) = (1_H)^N(g)$ . Since  $C \triangleleft N$  and  $C \subseteq H$ , we see that  $C$  acts trivially on the cosets of  $H$ . Since  $\langle g \rangle/C$  is a  $p$ -group, we therefore have  $(1_H)^N(g) \equiv |N:H| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and the proof is complete.

We digress at this point to indicate how to derive Brauer's Theorem on induced characters from Theorem 3. The key point of the proof (see [4]) is

to write  $1_G$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear combination of characters induced from characters of elementary subgroups of  $G$ . From this it follows that every character of  $G$  has this form. By Theorem 3, we may assume that  $G$  is quasialementary and working by induction, it suffices to show that if  $G$  is not elementary, then  $1_G$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear combination of characters induced from proper subgroups. This may be done as follows.

Write  $G = CP$  where  $C \triangleleft G$  is a cyclic  $p'$ -group and  $P$  is a  $p$ -group. Assume  $C_0 = \mathbf{C}_C(P) < C$  and let  $H = PC_0 < G$ . Suppose  $\lambda$  is a linear constituent of  $((1_H)^G)_C = (1_{C_0})^C$ . If  $\lambda$  is invariant in  $G$  then  $[C, P] \subseteq \ker \lambda$ . Since also  $C_0 \subseteq \ker \lambda$  and  $C_0[C, P] = C$ , it follows that  $\lambda = 1_C$ . Therefore, we may write  $(1_H)^G = 1_G + \sum \chi_i$  where the  $\chi_i$  are irreducible characters of  $G$  with nonhomogeneous restrictions to  $C$ . It follows that the  $\chi_i$  are induced from proper subgroups as desired.

#### 4. REDUCTION THEOREM

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Suppose  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  and  $p^a \mid m_F(\chi)$ . Proceeding by induction on  $|G|$ , we may assume that  $\chi$  is faithful. We recall that condition (c) in the definition of an  $F$ -triple is equivalent to the assertion that all of the linear constituents of  $\theta_\chi$  are conjugate by field automorphisms which fix  $F$ . In particular, any  $F(\chi)$ -triple is an  $F$ -triple and we may assume without loss that  $F(\chi) = F$ .

Suppose that for some  $K \subseteq G$  we can find  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(K)$  such that  $p \nmid [F(\theta) : F]$  and  $p \nmid [\chi, \theta^G]$ . Then  $p^a \mid m_F(\theta)$ . To see this, note that otherwise  $p^{a-1}m\theta$  is afforded by an  $F(\chi)$  representation for some  $m$  not divisible by  $p$ , and thus  $p^{a-1}m[\chi, \theta^G] \mid [F(\theta) : F] \mid \chi$  is afforded by an  $F$ -representation of  $G$  by 11.4 and 11.1 of [6]. This contradicts the hypothesis that  $p^a \mid m_F(\chi)$ . By the inductive hypothesis, we may assume that no  $\theta$  as above exists for any proper subgroup  $K$ .

Theorem 3 yields  $1_G = \sum_H a_H(1_H)^G$ , where  $H$  runs over the set  $\mathcal{H}$  of quasi-elementary subgroups of  $G$ . Thus

$$\chi = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} a_H(\chi_H)^G$$

and

$$1 = [\chi, \chi] = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} a_H[\chi, (\chi_H)^G].$$

Choose  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $p \nmid [\chi, (\chi_H)^G]$  and write  $\chi_H = \sum \Delta_i$ , where the  $\Delta_i$  are orbit sums under the action of  $\mathfrak{G} = \text{Gal}(F[\sqrt[p]{1}]/F)$ . Now choose  $\Delta_i$  so that  $p \nmid [\chi, (\Delta_i)^G]$  and let  $\theta$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\Delta_i$ . Then since

$[\chi, \theta^\sigma] = [\chi, (\theta^\sigma)^\sigma]$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$ , it follows that  $p \nmid [\chi, \theta^\sigma]$  and that the size of the  $\mathfrak{G}$ -orbit  $\mathfrak{D}$  containing  $\theta$  is prime to  $p$ . Since  $|\mathfrak{D}| = |F(\theta) : F|$ , we conclude that  $H$  is not a proper subgroup and hence  $G$  is quasialementary.

Now  $G$  has a cyclic normal  $q$ -complement,  $C$ , for some prime  $q$  and thus  $\chi(1)$  is a power of  $q$ . Since  $m_F(\chi) \mid \chi(1)$ , we have  $q = p$ . Choose  $X \supseteq C$ ,  $X \triangleleft G$  maximal such that  $X$  is abelian. Since  $G/X$  is a  $p$ -group, it follows that  $X$  is self-centralizing.

Let  $\lambda$  be a linear constituent of  $\chi_X$ , and let  $S = \{s \in G \mid \lambda^s = \lambda^\sigma \text{ for some } \sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\lambda)/F)\}$ . Note that  $S$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and that  $S$  contains the stabilizer,  $T$ , of  $\lambda$  in  $G$ . By 9.11 of [6], it follows that there exists a unique  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(T)$  such that  $\psi^\sigma = \chi$  and  $\psi_X$  has  $\lambda$  as a constituent. Let  $\eta = \psi^S$ .

We claim that  $F(\eta) = F$ . To prove this, let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta, \lambda)/F)$ . We show that  $\eta^\sigma = \eta$ . Now  $\chi^\sigma = \chi$  and  $\lambda^\sigma$  is a constituent of  $\chi_X$  so that  $\lambda^\sigma = \lambda^y$  for some  $y \in G$ . By definition,  $y \in S$ . Since  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^\sigma = \lambda^y$  have the same stabilizer,  $T$ , in  $G$ , it follows that  $T^y = T$  and  $(\psi^\sigma)^{y^{-1}} \in \text{Irr}(T)$ . Now

$$((\psi^\sigma)^{y^{-1}})^\sigma = (\psi^\sigma)^\sigma = \chi^\sigma = \chi$$

and  $\lambda = (\lambda^\sigma)^{y^{-1}}$  is a constituent of  $((\psi^\sigma)^{y^{-1}})_X$ . The uniqueness of  $\psi$  forces  $\psi = (\psi^\sigma)^{y^{-1}}$  and since  $y \in S$  we have

$$\eta = \psi^S = ((\psi^\sigma)^{y^{-1}})^S = (\psi^\sigma)^S = \eta^\sigma$$

as desired. Since  $|F(\eta) : F| = 1$  and  $[\chi, \eta^\sigma] = 1$ , we conclude that  $S$  is not a proper subgroup.

Thus all of the linear constituents of  $\chi_X$  are Galois conjugate over  $F$ . In particular, they all have the same kernel. Since  $\chi$  is faithful,  $\lambda$  is faithful and  $X$  is cyclic. Thus  $(G, X, \chi)$  is an  $F$ -triple and as  $G/X$  is a  $p$ -group, the proof is complete.

## 5. THE MAIN THEOREM

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Assume, by way of contradiction, that some irreducible character of  $G$  has Schur index divisible by  $p$ . By Theorem 2, there is an  $F$ -triple  $(H, X, \theta)$  such that  $p \mid m_F(\theta)$ ,  $H/X$  is a  $p$ -group, and  $H$  is a section of  $G$ . Set  $r = |X|$ ,  $F_1 = F(\theta)$  and  $E = F[\sqrt[r]{1}]$  so that the associated field extension is  $E/F_1$ . Since  $X$  is a cyclic section of  $G$ , we have  $r \mid n$  and  $\text{Gal}(E/F_1)$  is a section of  $\text{Gal}(F[\sqrt[r]{1}]/F)$ . By (2.1), the  $p$ -group  $H/X$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Gal}(E/F_1)$  and thus is cyclic.

Choose a supplement,  $Y$ , for  $X$  in  $H$  such that  $Y$  is a cyclic  $p$ -group and set  $p^b = |Y|$  and  $p^a = |Y \cap X|$ . By (2.2), we may assume that  $a > 0$ . Since  $\theta$  is faithful and  $Y \cap X$  is central in  $H$ , we conclude that  $F_1$  contains a

primitive  $p^a$ th root of unity. We argue that in fact  $E$  contains a primitive  $p^b$ th root of unity.

Set  $K = F_1[\sqrt[p^b]{1}]$ . Since  $p^b \mid n$ , we see that  $E$  and  $K$  are intermediate fields lying between  $F_1$  and  $F[\sqrt[p^b]{1}]$ . Moreover,

$$|E : F_1| = |H/X| = |Y/Y \cap X| = p^{b-a},$$

and since  $F_1$  contains a primitive  $p^a$ th root of unity for  $a > 0$ , we have

$$|K : F_1| = p^e \leq p^{b-a}.$$

Now  $\text{Gal}(F[\sqrt[p^b]{1}]/F_1)$  has a cyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. It follows that the fields between  $F_1$  and  $F[\sqrt[p^b]{1}]$  whose degree over  $F_1$  is a power of  $p$  are linearly ordered, and we conclude that  $K \subseteq E$  as asserted.

Let  $\delta$  be a primitive  $p^b$ th root of unity in  $E$ . We claim that  $N_{E/F_1}(\delta)$  is a primitive  $p^a$ th root of unity. Let  $\text{Gal}(E/F_1) = \langle \sigma \rangle$  so that  $\delta^\sigma = \delta^k$  for some integer  $k$ . Then

$$N_{E/F_1}(\delta) = \prod_{i=0}^{p^{b-a}-1} \delta^{k^i} = \delta^q,$$

where  $q = (k^{p^{b-a}} - 1)/(k - 1)$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $p^{b-a}$  is the exact power of  $p$  dividing  $q$ . Let  $\epsilon$  be a primitive  $p^c$ th root of unity in  $F_1$  for some  $c \geq a$ . Because we are assuming that  $\sqrt{-1} \in F$  when  $p = 2$ , we may assume  $p^c > 2$ . Since  $\epsilon = \epsilon^\sigma = \epsilon^k$ , we have  $p^c \mid (k - 1)$  and it follows easily that  $p^{b-a}$  is the exact power of  $p$  dividing  $q$ .

We have now proved that the image of  $N_{E/F_1}$  contains a primitive  $p^a$ th root of unity and therefore  $m_F(\theta) = 1$  by (2.2). This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

If we drop the assumption that  $\sqrt{-1} \in F$  when  $|P|$  is even, then the theorem becomes false as the example  $G = Q_8$  shows. Of course, we can conclude that  $4 \nmid m_F(\chi)$  for  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  by applying Theorem 1 to the field  $F[\sqrt{-1}]$ .

If the exponent of  $G$  is not divisible by 4, then we can drop the assumption that  $\sqrt{-1} \in F$ . To see this, note that following the proof of Theorem 1, we need to consider an  $F$ -triple  $(H, X, \theta)$ , where  $H = XY$ , and  $Y$  is a cyclic 2-group. Thus  $|Y| \leq 2$  and  $X \cap Y = 1$ . In this situation  $m_F(\theta) = 1$  and the proof is complete.

Suppose we weaken the hypothesis that  $\sqrt{-1} \in F$  when  $|P|$  is even to the assumption that  $-1$  is a sum of two squares. Is this sufficient to prove



$2 \nmid m_F(\chi)$  for  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ? It is not hard to show that in this situation it suffices to consider  $F$ -triples  $(H, X, \theta)$ , where  $H = XY$ , for a cyclic 2-group  $Y$  with  $|X \cap Y| = 2$  and  $|X| = 2q$  for some odd prime  $q$ . The authors have been unable to settle this case.

Finally, we observe that the methods of this paper give an immediate proof of the following result of Fein–Yamada [5]: For any field  $F$  of characteristic zero and any irreducible character  $\theta$  of  $G$ ,  $m_F(\theta)$  divides the exponent of  $G$  and  $m_F(\theta)^2$  divides the order of  $G$ .

Proceeding by induction, we may assume by Theorem 2 that  $(G, X, \theta)$  is an  $F$ -triple for some cyclic subgroup  $X$ . Then by the remark stated in Section 2,  $m_F(\theta) \mid |X|$ . Since we also know that  $m_F(\theta) \mid \theta(1)$  and  $\theta(1) = |G/X|$ , the result follows.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. A. ALBERT, "Structure of Algebras," American Mathematical Society, Buffalo, New York, 1939.
2. B. BANASCHEWSKI, On the character rings of finite groups, *Canad. J. of Math.* **15** (1963), 605–612.
3. R. BRAUER, On the algebraic structure of group rings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3** (1951), 237–251.
4. R. BRAUER AND J. TATE, On the characters of finite groups, *Ann. of Math.* **62** (1955), 1–7.
5. B. FEIN AND T. YAMADA, The Schur index and the order and exponent, to appear.
6. W. FEIT, "Characters of Finite Groups," Benjamin, New York, 1967.
7. P. FONG, A note on splitting fields of representations of finite groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **7** (1963), 515–520.
8. I. N. HERSTEIN, "Noncommutative Rings," Carus Monograph 15, Mathematical Association of America, Providence, RI, 1968.
9. L. SOLOMON, The representation of finite groups in algebraic number fields, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **13** (1961), 144–164.
10. T. YAMADA, On a splitting field of representations of a finite group, *Pacific J. Math.*, to appear.